

## Playing Catchup HOW DIGITALIZATION WILL IMPACT EUROPEAN UTILITIES



# Contents

| <b>1. HISTORICAL BUSINESS MODELS U</b> | INDER PRESSURE |
|--|----------------|
| Time to catch up                       |                |

### 2. DIGITIZATION - SHAPING A NEW ECOSYSTEM

| Markets boosted by the plunge in battery prices                  | 10 |
|--|----|
| Demand response and EMS will create a smarter grid               | 14 |
| Towards decentralized production and peer-to-peer energy trading | 17 |

| 2  | $\sim$ |     | IICI |  |
|----|--------|-----|------|--|
| J. |        | NCL | 031  |  |

19

11000

2 4

6

The 2000s were dominated by vigorous M&A activity in the utilities sector. This was thrown into reverse by the financial crisis, the rise of renewables and a slump in European electricity prices.

The electricity industry is faced today with seismic transformations in the form of declining traditional baseloads (coal and nuclear), rising intermittent renewables (wind, solar), digitization of the supply chain, increasing residential and utility-scale energy storage, and energy sharing communities.

Major utilities across Europe have reviewed their business models in response. However, while some utilities in Northern Europe, e.g. France and Germany, have implemented strategic changes, they have fallen behind their southern European counterparts, who anticipated the renewables revolution and its implications.

One of the most significant of these implications is the digitalization of energy markets, which holds out potential for rapid change in the sector, and creates an opportunity for the big tech companies who see the chance to leverage their huge expertise in data.

In response, incumbent utilities are scrambling to acquire expertise. At the same time, they need to prepare for the different demand profile that the rapid rise in electric vehicles will bring, and to leverage the potential of demand response technology, which will play a crucial role in this new landscape.

Looking further ahead, the potential for decentralized and peer-to-peer markets is set to further disrupt a sector already in the throes of major change. For the big incumbent players, this doesn't have to be a negative story. There is huge opportunity in this new market for utilities that can catch up with the structural and technical developments in time.

# 1: Historical business models under pressure

Until the very end of the 2000s, virtually all European utilities groups were involved in a wave of major acquisitions, driven by ongoing confidence in structurally high electricity prices (see Fig.1).

### FIG. 1: NON-EXHAUSTIVE LIST OF BIG DEALS IN THE EUROPEAN UTILITIES SECTOR (2000 - 2010)

| Year | Target                  | Buyer         | Amount (USD m) |
|------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| 2010 | International Power     | GDF Suez      | 35,932         |
| 2009 | Italgas Hellas          | Snam          | 3,937          |
| 2009 | Essent                  | RWE           | 9,791          |
| 2008 | British Energy          | EDF           | 14,114         |
| 2008 | Union Fenosa            | Gas Natural   | 8,561          |
| 2007 | Energy East             | Iberdrola     | 8,096          |
| 2007 | ASM                     | A2A           | 5,790          |
| 2006 | Scottish Power          | Iberdrola     | 27,234         |
| 2006 | Suez                    | GDF Suez      | 49,863         |
| 2006 | KeySpan                 | National Grid | 11,283         |
| 2005 | Electrabel              | Suez          | 13,551         |
| 2002 | Italgas Hellas          | Eni           | 3,406          |
| 2002 | Lattice Group           | National Grid | 17,697         |
| 2002 | Eurogen                 | Edipower      | 3,359          |
| 2001 | American Water          | RWE           | 7,495          |
| 2001 | Powergen                | E.ON          | 14,929         |
| 2000 | Thames Water            | RWE           | 9,768          |
| 2000 | Niagara Mohawk Holdings | National Grid | 8,336          |

### FIG. 2: HISTORICAL BUSINESS MODELS UNDER PRESSURE



### Source: Bloomberg; Bryan, Garnier & Co

Since then the situation has reversed, with electricity demand slowing following the 2008/2009 financial crisis, the proliferation of renewable energy installations - fuelled by subsidies and a slump in electricity prices in Europe (Fig. 2). Acquisitions hit a record low in the 2012-2015 period, particularly deals between the sector's industrial players, which now represent only one fifth of the total number of deals made.

The crisis in the sector, together with severe value destruction during the wave of acquisitions, prompted

European utilities groups to review their business models, a move that, despite coming too late, led to the implementation of significant changes.

The German utilities groups were no doubt the hardest hit by the dramatic drop in prices in the electricity market, the huge influx of renewable installations in the network and the government's announcement that all nuclear plants would be stopped after 2022.

At the end of 2016, RWE spun off its "clean" subsidiary, innogy, which has refocused on network activities,

renewable energy production and energy supply. In a similar approach involving splitting its sluggish conventional activities from its growth businesses and other regulated activities, E.ON spun off its subsidiary Uniper, retaining the infrastructure, renewable energy production and retail activities.

In France, **Engie** launched a vast programme of asset disposals (EUR15bn by 2018), also with the aim of refocusing on regulated, contracted activities and reducing its exposures to commodity prices (disposal of thermal

facilities exposed to market prices, and of exploration and production activities). EDF also plans to sell off nearly EUR10bn in assets by the end of the decade (it has already sold assets for over EUR8.0bn, including half its stake in RTE for around EUR4bn to CDC and CNP Assurances).

Despite these new smaller and more flexible structures and an unconvincing M&A track record, the beginning of 2017 brought a resurgence of speculation on potential consolidation in the sector. Finnish utility **Fortum** has launched an EUR8bn take-over

offer on German company Uniper which was c.47% owned by E.ON. In the environmental services segment, Suez bought GE Water on the basis of an enterprise value of around EUR3.2bn. EDF Energies Nouvelles announced the more moderatelysized acquisition of **Futuren**, which specializes in wind energy production.

Other major moves in the segment include Total's acquisition of 23% of **Eren**, one of the largest independent renewables players in the sector, for around EUR240m. With this acquisition, Total is now fully integrated

### Source: Thomson Reuters, AGEE, BMWi

along the value chain with **SunPower** (solar panels manufacturer), Eren (power producer), Saft (batteries manufacturer), Lampiris (power and gas suppliers) and GreenFlex (Energy Efficiency).

## Time to catch up

Due to a clear lack of flexibility, utilities in France (GDF-Suez then Engie, EDF) and Germany (E.ON and RWE) have fallen significantly behind in terms of adapting their business models and shifting their thermal/nuclear energy mix to a model based on renewables and energy services. Their constraints have been political (especially for EDF); social, including strong tradeunion influence that creates difficulties regarding any self-help measures; and external factors, such as the 2008/2009 financial crisis and the ensuing slowdown in energy demand, and Fukushima nuclear disaster, which prompted the German government to end nuclear energy production.

This situation compares unfavourably with the (rapid) transformation that

took place among southern Europe's utilities, such as **Iberdrola**, **EDP**, **Enel** and **Endesa**. These companies all successfully anticipated the renewables revolution, supported by government policies, and adapted their business models to new challenges such as the disruption of the merit order, greater intermittency and rapid learning curve bringing faster cost reduction, etc.

Since 2007, southern Europe's utilities have significantly outperformed their French and German peers, with their stock market performance rising +3.1% on average over the period compared with -73.4% and -75.1% respectively (Fig. 3).

Similar dynamics have played out in other European markets such as UK,

the Nordics, Netherlands etc. – in fact, in these markets, utilities such as **Centrica**, **Eneco**, Fortum etc. have shown a greater openness to digital innovation in terms of organizational structure, acquisitions and investments. Centrica, for example, is progressively moving towards a more capex-light business model as shown by its dedicated Business Solutions entity and by the recent acquisition of demand response leader REstore.

They are all trying to catch up with smart meters pioneer Enel which recently launched **Enel X**, a dedicated branch to e-solutions grouping together e-mobility, e-home, e-city and e-business solutions. It is now crucial for these "new" companies – Engie without its E&P activities and part of its thermal assets; E.ON without the conventional activities of Uniper; and **Innogy**, floated on the stock market by parent company RWE – to make sure they don't miss the boat in terms of sector digitalization,

### FIG. 4: FROM ONE REVOLUTION TO THE NEXT





Source: Bryan, Garnier & Co; Bitfury

### FIG. 3: STOCK MARKET PERFORMANCES (BASE 100)

which will bring e-mobility, smart homes, demand response solutions and advances in storage solutions (Fig. 4).

# 2: Digitalization: shaping a new ecosystem

### THE BACE TO BE FIRST MOVER

Over the last few years and with a growing sense of urgency, many European utilities have been focusing on the need to take their business digital. This is in response to the pressure on business models discussed earlier, coupled with the desire to build an ecosystem that accommodates both conventional activities (production, infrastructure, energy supply) and the new challenges brought on by technological advances in mobility, storage, data analysis and management.

Perhaps, above all, it is driven by the widespread development of renewable energy over the past decade, and the intermittent nature of this type of generation.

Improvements in grid and infrastructure intelligence are not only necessary to adapt to the new market reality:

they are also conscious differentiation strategies.

Another crucial factor is the need to be one of the first movers before US tech giants, "GAFA" - (Google, Apple, Facebook, Amazon) establish themselves in the energy space. The colossal quantity of data available in the sector - e.g. local production, consumption, e-mobility, flow monitoring - presents a wealth of opportunities to use, analyze and monetize information, which is a speciality of the GAFAs.

In the medium to long term, we expect to see clear convergence and inevitable competition between GAFA's interests and those of the conventional utilities in the energy sector. In practice, this has been happening since 2014 when Google acquired smart home specialist Nest.

The emergence of new players in the growing IoT and Connected Homes market is a force to be reckoned with, making consumers more aware and pro-active in managing their energy needs. Nest is already competing with utilities' own products such as Centrica's **Hive** solution in the UK, as well as with independent players, such as the German Tado or French Netatmo.

In 2016, Engle and innogy implemented "3D" strategies: decarbonization, decentralization and digitalization (Fig. 5). These three themes will dominate their strategies and generate synergies with all utilities' existing businesses:

• e-mobility (development and installation of charging points for electric vehicles, development of new pricing models relating to electric vehicles)

- Big Data (predictive maintenance for existing facilities, energy management software)
- the Internet of Things (smart cities and smart homes).

### PARALLELS WITH THE **TELECOMS INDUSTRY**

The transformation we are seeing in the utility sector today has parallels with that undertaken by the telecoms industry in the late 1990s. At that time, telcos needed to offset the commoditization of bandwidth by generating recurring revenues from their B2B and B2C client base through technology-based services such as server and data hosting, and SaaS (then known as Application Service Providers, or ASPs). This led to the creation of new divisions such as BT Global Services or Orange **Business Services** 

Today, utilities are facing similar challenges and are pushing to provide differentiated digital services, usually through the creation of dedicated units such as Centrica Business Services, Enel E-solutions, Engie Fab etc. The parallels suggest that the new e-businesses are here to stay in some form or other, and that the pace of change will only increase.

Further, as utility businesses increasingly start to resemble the digital services provided by telcos, we may start to see a convergence between these industries. In the UK and in other highly deregulated markets, telcos, supermarkets and even media brands offer their own branded telecom and energy supply services. French telco Orange announced only recently that they are looking to provide energy services in Europe, and others may follow, widening consumer choice



### FIG. 5: ENGLE AND INNOGY: TWIN STRATEGIES



and creating competitive challenges for utilities.

Words can quickly be put into action and most sector players have already launched sweeping programmes of targeted acquisitions - usually of small or mid-sized companies - specializing in digital activities, storage, e-mobility or energy efficiency.

Fig. 6 lists the main acquisitions made in Europe in this segment since 2016. The sums involved, as well as the key metrics, are rarely disclosed, so the valuation multiples underlying these deals are not yet known.

In the future, we believe that three sub-segments of the digital energy sector will inevitably emerge among Europe's utilities (Refer to Fig. 7 on page 9).

### Source: Company data; Bryan, Garnier & Co

### FIG. 6: MAIN ACQUISITIONS BY EUROPEAN UTILITIES IN THE DIGITAL ARENA IN 2016 AND 2017

| Date     | Buyer    | Target acquired         | Type of deal           | Main activity  |
|----------|----------|-------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Jan 2018 | Engie    | Electro Power Systems   | Acquisition (pending)  | Energy storage solutions and mircogrids                  |
| Nov 2017 | Centrica | REstore                 | Acquisition            | Demand response and energy management                    |
| Oct 2017 | E.ON     | Cuculus                 | Acq. of minority stake | IoT platform   |
| Oct 2017 | Shell    | The New Motion          | Acquisition            | Electric vehicle charging                                |
| Oct 2017 | ENGIE    | Fenix International     | Acquisition            | Solar Home Systems                                       |
| Oct 2017 | Enel     | Emotorwerks             | Acquisition            | Energy and e-mobility solutions                          |
| Sep 2017 | Total    | GreenFlex               | Acquisition            | Energy efficiency services                               |
| Jun 2017 | Enel     | EnerNOC                 | Acquisition            | Demand Response  |
| May 2017 | Total    | PitPoint BV             | Acquisition            | Natural gas for vehicles (NGV)                           |
| May 2017 | Centrica | IO-Tahoe LLC            | Acquisition            | Data discovery software solutions                        |
| Apr 2017 | Total    | Xee                     | Acq. of minority stake | Collection, processing and mgt. of data from smart cars  |
| Mar 2017 | Engie    | EVBox                   | Acquisition            | Supplier of charging solutions for electric vehicles     |
| Mar 2017 | Engie    | Keepmoat                | Acquisition            | Energy efficiency upgrade services for local authorities |
| Jan 2017 | Enel     | Demand Energy Networks  | Acquisition            | Intelligent software and energy storage systems          |
| Dec 2016 | Fortum   | Info24                  | Acquisition            | IoT solutions specialist                                 |
| Nov 2016 | Engie    | Opus One Solutions      | Acq. of minority stake | Operating system for distribution networks               |
| Oct 2016 | Engie    | Siradel                 | Acquisition            | 3D town modelling, software publisher                    |
| Sep 2016 | Engie    | Heliatek                | Acq. of minority stake | Production of organic photovoltaic films                 |
| Sep 2016 | Engie    | Symbio FCell            | Acq. of minority stake | Hydrogen mobility  |
| Sep 2016 | EDF      | Groom Energy Solutions  | Acquisition            | Energy efficiency support and solutions                  |
| Sep 2016 | Total    | AutoGrid                | Acq. of minority stake | Software to balance flows in distribution networks       |
| Aug 2016 | innogy   | Belectric               | Acquisition            | Solar PV and battery systems                             |
| Jul 2016 | Total    | United Wind             | Acq. of minority stake | Decentralized wind power generation                      |
| May 2016 | Engie    | Green Charge Networks   | Acquisition            | Battery storage  |
| May 2016 | Total    | Saft                    | Acquisition            | Battery design and production                            |
| Apr 2016 | Centrica | Neas Energy             | Acquisition            | Energy management and revenue optimization services      |
| Mar 2016 | Engie    | C3 Resources            | Acquisition            | Digital energy management                                |
| Feb 2016 | Engie    | OpTerra Energy Services | Acquisition            | Energy efficiency  |

Source: Bryan, Garnier & Co. Highlighted above, the main acquisitions made over the last two years. For the purpose of this table, we consider Total to be a utility, especially given that it has set up a renewable energies and storage solutions division.

FIG. 7: SUB-SEGMENTS OF THE DIGITAL ENERGY SECTOR

### ELECTRIC VEHICLES AND STORAGE: The arrival of electric Demand response vehicles and the related initiatives and their development of storage

solutions.

implications in terms of energy management software (EMS).

It is difficult to establish boundaries between these sub-segments, given that they are so deeply intertwined and interconnected.



### DEMAND RESPONSE:

### VIRTUAL POWER PLANTS AND **ENERGY TRADING:**

Virtual Power Plants, as electricity production models are decentralized with peer-to-peer energy trading and the "prosumer" concept.



## Markets boosted by the plunge in battery prices

Battery prices have plummeted. The cost of lithium-ion batteries fell by 65-70% between 2010 and 2015, from around USD1,000/KWh to around USD300/KWh. Sector players believe that a price of around USD100/KWh by 2025 is a realistic and achievable target. This has driven – and should continue to drive - both the e-mobility market and electricity production solutions, coupled with storage

systems, especially PV plus storage.

At present, electric vehicles (EVs) represent only a small fraction of new registrations which, in 2016, stood at around 1% in France and 0.5% in Germany. However, this percentage is set to climb steeply in the coming decades, driven by innovation and government policies such as purchase subsidies and dedicated lanes on the roads.

Based on our estimates (BG Auto & BG Utilities), EVs could represent around 17% of total vehicles in use in France and around 9% of those in Germany by 2030.

Moreover, we believe that on average, EVs could account for 30% of new vehicle registrations in France and 20% in Germany over the 2025-2030 period (Fig. 8). Some European countries, such as Norway and the Netherlands,

### have higher projected EV penetrations.

Based on the three assumptions below, we believe that EVs could increase electricity consumption in France and Germany by respectively 2.5% and 2.0% by 2030.

• A traditional EV, equipped with a 25-45kWh battery that enables it to drive 200-300km, uses

12kWh/100km on average. So, a million EVs averaging 15,000km a year would use around 1.8TWh.

- At present, new registrations of electric vehicles represent 0.5%-1% of total new registrations in France and Germany.
- We assume that underlying electricity consumption in France and Germany (excluding additional demand from

### FIG. 8: ANTICIPATED INCREASE IN ELECTRIC VEHICLES IN FRANCE AND GERMANY TO 2030



### **PROJECTED EV ADOPTION, GERMANY**



### FIG. 9: EVs COULD BOOST ELECTRICITY CONSUMPTION IN FRANCE AND GERMANY BY 2%-2.5% BY 2030

EVs AS A % OF CONSUMPTION, FRANCE



EVs) will see annual average growth of 0.25% out to 2030 (Fig. 9).

This anticipated rise in electricity consumption due to the growing number of electric vehicles in use explains why Europe's utilities are specializing in electric vehicle charging infrastructure. Engie acquired Dutch company **EVBox** at the beginning of the year, innogy is building infrastructure via organic growth,



EVs AS A % OF CONSUMPTION, GERMANY



EDF is using its Sodetrel subsidiary and E.ON is leveraging a recently signed partnership with Danish company CLEVER. (Figs. 10 & 11). More recently, oil and gas major Shell bought Dutch-based company The New Motion which is the owner of one of Europe's largest charging networks. It also partnered with **IONITY**, a joint venture between car-makers

including **BMW**, **Daimler**, Ford and Volkswagen, to deploy ultra-fast chargers on Europe's highways.

### FIG. 10: INNOGY IS PRESENT ACROSS EUROPE IN ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING STATIONS...

### BROAD COVERAGE OF CHARGING POINTS THROUGHOUT EUROPE WITH A FOCUS ON GERMANY # innogy charging points as of 31 December 2015



### Key statistics (2017)

> Overall > 5,400 charging points in > 20 countries

engie EVBC

- > 470,000 charging transactions
- > 4.5GWh electricity charged
- > More than 130 (municipal) utility and 50 B2B partner in Germany

### **Current business model**



Source: Company Data



Engie announces the acquisition of EV-Box. The Netherlands-based company is one of the worlds leading electric vehicle charging services providers with over 40,000 charging stations in service.



Shell announces the acquisition of The New Motion, a Netherlands-based provider of tens of thousands of private and public EV charging points as well as a payment card.





Source: Company Data

The forecast rise in demand also explains why these same utilities are keen to develop cross-selling of products and services between charging stations, as well as new pricing practices. Through its Elec' Car offer, Engie enables users to charge their vehicles during the night at off-peak times for 50% less than the usual peak-time rate, aiming to smooth charging times throughout the day (Fig. 12). By 2030, if the forecast

### FIG. 12: DEVELOPMENT OF NEW PRICING POLICIES AIMED AT SMOOTHING NATIONAL ELECTRICITY CONSUMPTION

### $\bigcirc 4$ OCT 2016

Engie launches Elec'Car, the first electricity tariff for electric vehicle owners in France.

engie

At the 2016 Paris Motor Show, Engie is launching "Elec'Car", a green electricity offer at a competitive price, exclusively for owners of electric or plug-in hybrid vehicles in France. It enables customers to save money by recharging their electric vehicles at home during off-peak hours.

This theoretical 20GW could pose a serious risk to grid stability, notably in the event of new closures or mothballing of peaking power stations or winter temperatures below the seasonal average. The winter peak in France usually stands at around 85-90GW. Stress situations, such as that

recorded at the beginning of 2012, have caused consumption peaks of over 100GW, 10-15GW above the usual level.

The anticipated surge in EVs would go hand-in-hand with major developments in storage systems, which could benefit

seven million EVs in France were to be charged at the same time in slowcharging mode, this would need over 20GW of simultaneous capacity.







E-On launches the Fixed 1 Year Electric Vehicle tariff in the UK.

This a special dual rate tariff offering a competitive day rate and a night rate that is 33% below the day rate for use when many hybrid and EV owners choose to charge their cars. E,On provides an Economy 7 programmed smart meter free of charge as a necessary part of the tariff.

Source: Company Data

renewables and limit their intermittency. We nevertheless believe that this potential stress on the grid will create the need for increased grid intelligence using demand response solutions and energy management software.

## Demand response and EMS will create a smarter grid

### WHAT IS DEMAND RESPONSE?

Demand response means adjusting energy consumption rather than production (Fig. 13). Based on demand curtailment, it is geared mainly towards industrial consumers whose electricity consumption can account for 10%-60% of their costs, depending on the sector. New technologies can extend demand response beyond a handful of the largest energy users to a wider base of energy intensive industries. These users are better placed to

quickly ease stress on the national grid, because the time taken for a request to translate into a reduction in load can be from seconds to minutes, creating an immediate response to alleviate potential stress. In 2013, Siemens highlighted that demand response initiatives had reduced consumption peaks by around 8% in the interconnected market in the north east of the United States (Michigan, Pennsylvania, Maryland, New Jersey and North Carolina).

In return for reducing their load, industrial players involved are generously compensated for the MW they agree not to use on demand. Specifically, the grid operator pays an aggregator to deliver demand response capacity. The aggregator then pays the users that have agreed to make this capacity available when requested. This is typically compensated based on MW made available on demand, even if they are not actually used.

In our view, demand response measures confirm the growing role of software in the energy world. Software is being used in wider applications, including cost reduction through predictive maintenance; using weather forecasting to estimate renewable energy production, helping control the intermittency issue; and fostering a smarter grid where aggregators can liaise with industrial users to minimize demand response times, and where it is generally easier to manage consumption and flows. Although Europe's demand response markets have not yet attained the volumes seen in the US, (particularly in its PJM capacity market), demand response solutions are gradually becoming more mainstream through tender procedures. Additionally, Europe has tended to be more innovative in opening up higher value

markets requiring faster response times than the traditional capacity markets, such as the primary and tertiary reserve markets. In December last year, French grid operator and EDF subsidiary **RTE** announced the results of its demand response tender. Thirteen operators have been selected and are working to make balancing loads available for a total volume of 750MW to 1.4GW for 2017. The capacity can be activated up to 40 times over the year and during all peak hours throughout the day, i.e. up to 10 hours a day, for a price of up to EUR20,700 per MW (source: RTE).

In 2015, global flexible capacity from demand response initiatives stood at around 40GW, around 1% of total capacity worldwide, based on the latest data from **Bloomberg New** Energy Finance (BNEF). Around

### FIG. 14: ANTICIPATED TREND IN DEMAND RESPONSE LOAD REDUCTION CAPACITY IN EUROPE (2015-2040E)



### FIG. 13: DEMAND RESPONSE BUSINESS MODEL



Source: Bryan, Garnier & Co

### Timescale Lona Term Real Time Markets CAPACITY WHOLESALE / SPOT IMBALANCE RESERVE (e.g. EPEX, EEX, Belpex etc) (e.g. Marche d'Adjustment) **Response time** 10 Hours 15 min 5 min 30 sec Typical duration 4-8 Hours 1-2 hours R<sub>2</sub> R, R, DAY AHEAD INTRA-DA TERTIAR Market size (Europe) 20 GW 17 GW 3 GW 20-40 20-40 Indicative prices (2017) 100 20-30 EUR/kw/year EUR/kw/year EUR/kw/year EUR/kw/year

FIG. 13B: STRUCTURE OF ELECTRICITY MARKETS

two-thirds of this capacity is in the US market. BNEF expects demand response capacity to grow around 7.5% per year over the 2015-2040 period, reaching around 245GW in 2040, which is in line with our view. In France, BNEF expects to see linear growth in demand response capacity, reaching around 8GW in 2040 (Fig. 14).

This figure is consistent with current data for the PJM market, where according to PJM and BNEF). demand response capacity is close to 5% of consumption peaks on average, fluctuating between 3% and 6.5% since 2008/2009. As mentioned above, France's consumption peak is around 90GW on average, to which we can add a further 20GW relating to electric vehicles: the 8GW of demand response capacity would therefore represent around 7% of the average French electricity consumption peak.

Source: Bloomberg New Energy Finance (BNEF); Bryan, Garnier & Co



### WHICH PLAYERS ARE CURRENTLY **OPERATING IN THE MARKET?**

We have identified around 10 European players active in the demand response market (Fig. 15). According to BNEF, REstore and Energy Pool have the largest portfolios (around 1.5GW of

### flexible capacity).

Over the last few years, Europe's utilities have made a stream of acquisitions in the sector. Engie took a stake in UK-based Kiwi Power in 2015 and Alpiq acquired Flexitricity a year earlier. Last year, Vinci,

via its subsidiary Vinci Energies, acquired Smart Grid Energy (SGE), a French start-up specializing in demand response solutions. This year, Centrica acquired REstore, while Statkraft invested in Limejump.

## FIG. 15: KEY DEMAND RESPONSE PLAYERS IN EUROPE

| Company                          | Country | Estimated sales | Flexible MW in the portfolio* |
|----------------------------------|---------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| REstore (Centrica)               | Belgium | EUR10-15m       | 1,700MW                       |
| Energy Pool (Schneider Electric) | France  | EUR30m          | 1,500MW                       |
| Actility                         | France  | EUR15-20m       | 500MW                         |
| Voltalis                         | France  | n/a             | 500MW                         |
| Kiwi Power                       | UK      | n/a             | 400MW                         |
| Flexitricity                     | UK      | n/a             | 300MW                         |
| Smart Grid Energy                | France  | EUR10m          | 300MW                         |
| Enerdigit                        | France  | < EUR5m         | n/a                           |
| Entelios (Agder Energy)          | Germany | n/a             | n/a                           |
| Eqinov                           | France  | < €10m          | n/a                           |

Source: Bryan, Garnier & Co\* Bloomberg New Energy Finance estimates

## Towards decentralized production and peer-to-peer energy trading

In the future, demand curtailment practices and a more "software-driven" sector are likely to be accompanied by decentralized production models. The increasing use of storage systems could encourage the development of new alternative micro-grids where consumers are also producers, or "prosumers".

In 2016, EDF entered the residential self-consumption arena with the launch of its "Mon Soleil et moi" solution, encompassing the sale, installation, use and maintenance of photovoltaic solar panels and home batteries. The same year, E.ON launched Aura, a local solar production and storage system followed in 2017 by Solar Cloud, which enables

producers to store their electricity in a virtual account for later use. More recently, in March 2017, Engie launched a self-consumption solution called **My Power**, which includes PV panel installation and a smart device enabling customers to use around 90% of the electricity they produce. Developments in companies' retail businesses are trending towards self-consumption, but also towards the "smart home" segment, which is likely to see cutthroat competition in a few years' time as utilities, tech companies, electronics specialists and potentially telecoms players all enter the market.

### FIG. 16: STRONG GROWTH EXPECTED IN STORAGE CAPACITY, DRIVEN BY THE RESIDENTIAL SOLAR MARKET



Applications for commercial and industrial (C&I) players are likely to remain more marginal, other than in North America, where BNEF estimates they could reach around 10% of local storage capacity. We believe this is a cautious view, for three reasons:

- The large size of industrial sites could favour the installation of larger-scale solar roof panels
- The consumption profile of industrial players could be better suited to the production profile for solar energy (Fig. 17)

In general, storage capacity is expected to increase sharply in the coming years, driven mainly by a rapid reduction in associated costs. Currently at 4-5GW (worldwide), this capacity could increase 10-fold by 2024 according to BNEF. Particularly strong growth is expected in Asia (+50% CAGR in China and +80% in India over the 2017-2024 period) and Europe (+40% CAGR in Germany and +34% in the UK over the 2017-2024 period) (Fig. 16).

These growth trends are likely to be fuelled mainly by the residential solar market in Europe and Asia, where growth in energy storage applications could exceed 60% over the next 10 years.

٠

Commercial and industrial (C&I) players are increasingly focusing on renewables, notably in the US, where corporate PPAs are now commonplace.

# 3: Conclusion



### FIG. 17: THE CONSUMPTION PROFILES OF CONSUMER AND INDUSTRIAL PLAYERS ARE WELL-SUITED TO **SELF-CONSUMPTION PRACTICES (E.G. HYPERMARKETS)**

Source: GreenYellow, the renewable energy subsidiary of French retail group Casino

Looking further ahead, we could also see the development of peerto-peer energy trading, where a decentralized producer sells part of its production surplus through a virtual platform – such as the one launched by Germany's **Sonnen** as part of its SonnenCommunity concept (Fig 18) - to consumers located near the production centre.

This approach enables suppliers to meet immediate consumption needs locally and helps to gradually reduce congestion in the national grid. Some such initiatives have already been launched, notably in the US, where they are used together with blockchain technology in order to guarantee that transactions between individuals are secure and to avoid the need for intermediaries. Energy trading communities represent a significant threat to established power producers that have historically thrived on the centralized generation model and are, as such, a call for these players to adapt and evolve.



### which established players are taking their first steps.

However, we do not believe that decentralized production practices - "behind the meter" - will become predominant yet. Instead, we see them being used alongside traditional models with the aim of reducing congestion in national grids, which will be affected by the growing use of EVs and increasingly intermittent production capacity as growing renewables impact peak capacity.

In our view, Engie, E.ON, Enel, Centrica and innogy seem to be particularly well placed with respect to these digital and innovation themes, with developments in new mobility practices, smart grid applications and self-consumption

### FIG: 19: R&D SPENDING IN 2016

| innogy     | E  |
|------------|----|
| E.ON       | El |
| EDF        | E  |
| Engie      | E  |
| EnBW       | E  |
| Iberdrola  | E  |
| Fortum     | E  |
| Vattenfall | El |

Fig. 19 presents the various initiatives launched by prominent utility sector players. The chart includes acquisitions (Belectric for innogy and EVBox for Engie), minority stakes (Total/Xee), and organic development (self-consumption

practices at Engie or EDF). It is not intended to be exhaustive, but aims to highlight the proliferation of digital initiatives across the sector and the fact that major utilities, especially in Northern Europe, have firmly grasped

## FIG. 18: AN EXAMPLE OF ENERGY TRADING COMMUNITIES: SONNENCOMMUNITY



models, especially in the residential sector. We believe that these initiatives will continue in the coming months and years via new acquisitions and new partnerships. An example is E.ON's recently announced partnership with Google, in which it aims to optimize its solar installations using Google's expertise in data analysis and processing. More extensive organic development is also likely.

EDF has been less active on the acquisition front, although it has acquired equity stakes and invested in Actility (via the Electranova fund in 2012), Enlighted and Forsee Power. However, its R&D budget exceeds that of its direct competitors by far (Fig. 19)

| EUR149m |
|---------|
| EUR14m  |
| UR572m  |
| EUR191m |
| EUR37m  |
| UR211m  |
| EUR52m  |
| EUR53m  |
|         |

Source: Company Data; Bryan, Garnier & Co

the challenges involved in the revolution that's starting in their industry.

For Europe's utilities, digitalization is more opportunity than threat - provided they can move fast enough.

**ENGIE** Fab

**E.ON E.ON e-mobility** Connecting **REstore** E.ON Aura Acquired by centrica IMS €70,000,000 better place (

### FIG. 21: ACQUISITION OF RESTORE BY CENTRICA

### SUMMARY OF RESTORE

Europe's leading demand response and VPP player with advanced software for energy management. Winner of several reference awards Algorithmbased optimal monetization of flexible capacity for commercial and industrial customers, built on a deep IP portfolio Capabilities extending beyond demand side reduction to storage management and energy IoT.

### TRANSACTION HIGHLIGHTS

Tightly managed competitive process with sale at benchmark multiple and on favourable terms achieved within six months of going to market.

November 2017 Sole Advisor to the sellers:

### BRYAN, GARNIER & CO







Logos above the highlighted utility are in-house initiatives. Logos below the utility are investments, acquisitions and partnerships.

"We selected Bryan, Garnier & Co based on their track record, network and ability to realize upside potential on transactions. Bryan, Garnier & Co played a fantastic role in our search for the ideal strategic partner. They were able to combine their established experience and relationships in energy with an ability to demonstrate how transformative our software technology and intellectual property could be for the industry."

### Jan-Willem Rombouts, co-CEO, REstore



### White Paper Contributors



### **OLIVIER BEAUDOUIN**

Partner, Investment Banking Technology & Smart Industries obeaudouin@bryangarnier.com



### **PIERRE ANTOINE CHAZAL**

Equity Research Analyst Utilities & Renewable Energy pachazal@bryangarnier.com



**JAY MARATHE** Managing Director, Smart Industries jmarathe@bryangarnier.com

### Technology Investment Banking Team

Since 1996, more than 300 companies have trusted us to deliver more than €10 billion in investment banking transactions, raising private and public financing, as well as advising on mergers and acquisitions.

### PARTNERS AND SENIOR ADVISORS

| Olivier Beaudouin   Technology & Smart Industries    |
|--|
| Hermann Eul   Technology                             |
| Falk-Müller-Veerse   Technology                      |
| Guillaume Nathan   Digital Media & Business Services |
| Marc Picard   Business Services                      |
| Olivier Perraudin   Telecoms                         |
| Greg Revenu   Technology                             |
| Thibaut De Smedt   Application Software              |
|  |

### **MANAGING DIRECTORS**

| Stanislas de Gmeline   Business Services     |
|--|
| Pierre Kiecolt-Wahl   Equity Capital Markets |
| Jay Marathe   Technology & Smart Industries  |
| Philippe Patricot   Technology               |

### **DIRECTORS & VICE PRESIDENTS Jonathan Bohbot** Lars Dürschlag Jonathan Foiret-Hurbin

| Dr Nicholas Hanser  |  |
|---------------------|--|
| Marc-Antoine Janny  |  |
| Berk Kirca          |  |
| Pierre Lafitte      |  |
| Frans-Matthis Pleie |  |
| Charlie Pujo        |  |
| Cosme Rosellini     |  |

### **ASSOCIATES & ANALYSTS** Willem Bensaid Priyanshu Bhattacharya Alberto Bravo Alexandre Brestin **Pierre Cuer Clement Decante Guillaume Lallouet Diane Perrin-Pelletier** Jean de Pracomtal Jakub Simon

### Technology Equity Research Analyst Team

With seasoned research methodology and fundamental bottom-up approach, Bryan, Garnier's analysts provide opinionated investment insights with leading perspective across the most dynamic Technology sectors in Europe. Bryan Garnier & Co developed the most dedicated Technology research platform in Europe, with more than 150 stocks covered.

Awa Sow

### **ANALYSTS & RESEARCH ASSOCIATES**

| Richard-Maxime Beaudoux   Video games / Payments |
|--|
| Xavier Caroen   Automotive Technologies          |
| Pierre Antoine Chazal   Smart Energy             |
| Thomas Coudry   Telecoms                         |

| Antoine Parison   Ecommerce / Market Place     |
|--|
| Gregory Ramirez   Software & IT Services       |
| Dorian Terral   Semiconductors                 |
| Fréderic Youboué   Technology, Media, Telecoms |

### Corporate Transactions: Smart Energy

Bryan, Garnier & Co leverage in-depth sector expertise to create fruitful and long lasting relationships between investors and European growth companies.



### About Bryan, Garnier & Co

Bryan, Garnier & Co is a European, full service growth-focused independent investment banking partnership founded in 1996. The firm provides equity research, sales and trading, private and public capital raising as well as M&A services to growth companies and their investors. It focuses on key growth sectors of the economy including Technology, Media, Telecoms, Healthcare, Smart Industries and Energy, Consumer, Brands & Retail and Business Services. Bryan, Garnier & Co Ltd is a fully registered broker dealer authorized by the FCA in Europe and the FINRA in the U.S. Bryan, Garnier & Co is headquartered in London, with additional offices in Paris, Munich and New York. The firm is a member of the London Stock Exchange and Euronext.

### JMP Bryan Garnier Technology Equity Research Coverage

In November 2016 Bryan, Garnier & Co formed a partnership with JMP Securities LLC (NYSE : JMP) to create JMP Bryan Garnier, a full-service transatlantic investment banking alliance for technology and healthcare companies.



## 19 Analysts | 130+ Stocks Covered

With more than 150 professionals based in London, Paris, Munich and New York, Bryan, Garnier & Co combines the services and expertise of a top-tier investment bank with client focus of a boutique.





### LONDON

Beaufort House 15 St. Botolph Street London, EC3A 7BB UK

**T:** +44 (0) 207 332 2500 **F:** +44 (0) 207 332 2559

Authorized and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA)

### PARIS

26 Avenue des Champs Elysées 75008 Paris France

**T:** +33 (0) 1 56 68 75 00 **F:** +33 (0) 1 56 68 75 01

Regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) and the Autorité de Contrôle prudential et de resolution (ACPR)

### MUNICH

Widenmayerstrasse 29 80538 Munich Germany

T: +49 89 242 262 11F: +49 89 242 262 51

### **NEW YORK**

750 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022 USA

**T:** +1 (0) 212 337 7000 **F:** +1 (0) 212 337 7002

FINRA and SIPC member

### **IMPORTANT INFORMATION**

This document is classified under the FCA Handbook as being investment research (independent research). Bryan Garnier & Co Limited has in place the measures and arrangements required for investment research as set out in the FCA's Conduct of Business Sourcebook.

This report is prepared by Bryan Garnier & Co Limited, registered in England Number 03034095 and its MIFID branch registered in France Number 452 605 512. Bryan Garnier & Co Limited is authorized and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (Firm Reference Number 178733) and is a member of the London Stock Exchange. Registered address: Beaufort House 15 St. Botolph Street, London EC3A 7BB, United Kingdom.

This Report is provided for information purposes only and does not constitute an offer, or a solicitation of an offer, to buy or sell relevant securities, including securities mentioned in this Report and options, warrants or rights to or interests in any such securities. This Report is for general circulation to clients of the Firm and as such is not, and should not be construed as, investment advice or a personal recommendation. No account is taken of the investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs of any person.

The information and opinions contained in this Report have been compiled from and are based upon generally available information which the Firm believes to be reliable but the accuracy of which cannot be guaranteed. All components and estimates given are statements of the Firm, or an associated company's, opinion only and no express representation or warranty is given or should be implied from such statements. All opinions expressed in this Report are subject to change without notice. To the fullest extent permitted by law neither the Firm nor any associated company accept any liability whatsoever for any direct or consequential loss arising from the use of this Report. Information may be available to the Firm and/or associated companies which are not reflected in this Report. The Firm or an associated company may have a consulting relationship with a company which is the subject of this Report.

This Report may not be reproduced, distributed or published by you for any purpose except with the Firm's prior written permission. The Firm reserves all rights in relation to this Report.

Past performance information contained in this Report is not an indication of future performance. The information in this report has not been audited or verified by an independent party and should not be seen as an indication of returns which might be received by investors. Similarly, where projections, forecasts, targeted or illustrative returns or related statements or expressions of opinion are given ("Forward Looking Information") they should not be regarded as a guarantee, prediction or definitive statement of fact or probability. Actual events and circumstances are difficult or impossible to predict and will differ from assumptions. A number of factors, in addition to the risk factors stated in this Report, could cause actual results to differ materially from those in any Forward Looking Information.

Disclosures specific to clients in the United Kingdom This Report has not been approved by Bryan Garnier & Co Limited for the purposes of section 21 of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 because it is being distributed in the United Kingdom only to persons who have been classified by Bryan Garnier & Co Limited as professional clients or eligible counterparties. Any recipient who is not such a person should return the Report to Bryan Garnier & Co Limited immediately and should not rely on it for any purposes whatsoever.

NOTICE TO US INVESTORS

This research report (the "Report") was prepared by Bryan Garnier & Co Limited for information purposes only. The Report is intended for distribution in the United States to "Major US Institutional Investors" as defined in SEC Rule 15a-6 and may not be furnished to any other person in the United States. Each Major US Institutional Investor which receives a copy of this Report by its acceptance hereof represents and agrees that it shall not distribute or provide this Report to any other person. Any US person that desires to effect transactions in any security discussed in this Report should call or write to our US affiliated broker, Bryan Garnier Securities, LLC. 750 Lexington Avenue, New York NY 10022. Telephone: 1-212-337-7000.

This Report is based on information obtained from sources that Bryan Garnier & Co Limited believes to be reliable and, to the best of its knowledge, contains no misleading, untrue or false statements but which it has not independently verified. Neither Bryan Garnier & Co Limited and/or Bryan Garnier Securities LLC make no guarantee, representation or warranty as to its accuracy or completeness. Expressions of opinion herein are subject to change without notice. This Report is not an offer to buy or sell any security.

Bryan Garnier Securities, LLC and/or its affiliate, Bryan Garnier & Co Limited may own more than 1% of the securities of the company(ies) which is (are) the subject matter of this Report, may act as a market maker in the securities of the company(ies) discussed herein, may manage or co-manage a public offering of securities for the subject company(ies), may sell such securities to or buy them from customers on a principal basis and may also perform or seek to perform investment banking services for the company(ies).

Bryan Garnier Securities, LLC and/or Bryan Garnier & Co Limited are unaware of any actual, material conflict of interest of the research analyst who prepared this Report and are also not aware that the research analyst knew or had reason to know of any actual, material conflict of interest at the time this Report is distributed or made available.